UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,445	08/24/2006	Michael E. Jung	58086-235854 (2004-129-2)	6734
²⁶⁶⁹⁴ VENABLE LL	590 06/05/2008		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3438	35		RAO, SAVITHA M	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/590,445	JUNG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		SAVITHA RAO	4131				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress			
Period fo	•						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNI 6(a). In no event, however, may a ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Au	igust 2006.	·				
·—	•	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)[Claim(s)is/are rejected.		•				
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,					
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			·				
A44.c - 1-							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of References Cited (P10-092) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/590,445

Art Unit: 4131

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claims 1-14 are pending

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-2, 7-10 to a composition of matter comprising a compound having the formula

wherein R is (CH₂)₀N₃ or N₃C₆H₄ and where n is from 3 to 8.

Group II, claims 3-6 are drawn to a method of inhibiting prostate specific antigen production in prostate cancer cell, inhibiting growth of a human prostate cancer cell, antagonizing the function of the ligand binding domain of the androgen receptor polypeptide in a prostate cancer cell upon contacting the cell with a sufficient amount of the compound claimed in Group I.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,445

Art Unit: 4131

Group III, claims 11-14 drawn to a method of making a composition of matter comprising compound claimed in Group I.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The common technical feature linking the claims is the compound claimed in group I above which is a thiohydantoin derivative. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art.

In the present case, Claussner et.al (US 6087509) teaches thiohydantoin derivatives like that of compound claimed in Group I (Columns 1 and 2, referenced in the instant IDS). As a result, no special technical features exist among the different groups because the inventions in Groups I-III fail to make a contribution over the prior art. In conclusion, Groups I-III are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept, and therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/590,445

Art Unit: 4131

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01

Art Unit: 4131

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAVITHA RAO whose telephone number is (571)270-5315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8 am to 5 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on 571-272-0867 and Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0567. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SAVITHA RAO Examiner Art Unit 4131

/JANET L ANDRES/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4131